

2022 Contractor Performance Rating Report (Annual)

Contractor:	<input type="text"/>		
Date this was Last Modified:	<input type="text"/>		
Year Being Rated:	<input type="text"/>	Project office:	<input type="text"/>
Contract:	<input type="text"/>	Job No.	<input type="text"/>
Route:	<input type="text"/>	County:	<input type="text"/>
District:	<input type="text"/>	Contract Letting Date:	<input type="text"/>
Notice to Proceed:	<input type="text"/>	Contract Completion Date:	<input type="text"/>
Contractor Vendor No:	<input type="text"/>	Federal Project Number:	<input type="text"/>
Percent Complete:	<input type="text"/>	Original Contract Amount:	<input type="text"/>
Final Contract Amount:	<input type="text"/>	Total Dollars Paid To Date:	<input type="text"/>
Total Dollars of Mobilization Paid This Calendar Year:	<input type="text"/>		
Total Dollars of Miscellaneous Payments Paid This Year:	<input type="text"/>		
Total Dollars of Deductions Charges This Year:	<input type="text"/>		

Section 100 - General Provisions

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 100 contract document violation? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)
 Equation: $-(6*n)$

2 Did the contractor provide the engineer with letters of approval, from the appropriate regulating agencies , prior to commencing any blasting operations? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)
 N/A NO (-2) YES (No deduct)

3 How many DNR Notices of Violation were issued this construction season for Clean Water Act violations? (Contract Compliance - Environment) [Instruction](#)
 Equation: $-(3*n+3^n)$

4 Were the contractor's proposed primary sources of materials identified at or before the preconstruction conference? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)
 N/A NO (-2) YES (No deduct)

5 Were paid invoices for material allowances received within the time limit specified in the contract? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)
 N/A <50% (-2) 50-99% (-1)
 100% (No deduct)

6 Did the contractor submit certified copies of payrolls within one week of the limit specified in the contract? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)
 N/A <90% (-2) 90-99% (-1)
 100% (No deduct)

7 Did employee wage interviews indicate that the contractor was in compliance with prevailing wage requirements? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

21 Did the contractor clearly outline the intended maintenance of traffic, work phasing provided by the contract and such other information as required by the contract? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

N/A NO (-2) YES (No deduct)

22 Did the contractor provide a final or an acceptable revised progress schedule within 7 days when required or requested? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

N/A NO (-2) YES (No deduct)

23 Was an authorized representative readily available throughout the life of the project? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

N/A 0-74% (-4) 75-84% (-3)
 85-94% (-2) 95-99% (-1) 100% (No deduct)

24 Did the contractor exercise caution around construction stakes installed by MoDOT? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

N/A <90 (-2) 90-99% (-1)
 100% (No deduct)

25 Were the contractor's personnel readily available when called for non-working hour emergencies? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

N/A <90 (-4) 90-99% (-2)
 100% (No deduct)

26 Did the contractor satisfactorily remove, maintain, and relocate signs and markers? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

N/A <90 (-2) 90-99% (-1)
 100% (No deduct)

27 Did the contractor provide the effort to resolve substantiated complaints in a positive, prompt and professional manner? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

N/A <90 (-2) 90-99% (-1)
 100% (No deduct)

28b What are the total weighted time table points used? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

28a Using the weighted timetable, how many total points are allowed for the project? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

28c What percentage of the project is completed? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

99.78

29 If there were Disincentive/Milestone damages charged on the project enter the \$ Disincentive/Liquidated Damages for failing to meet contract milestone dates. (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

0

30 Did the contractor submit a Safety Plan in accordance with the contract? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

No (-8) Yes (No deduct)

31 Did the contractor provide a list of personnel readily available, and responded if called, for non-working hour emergencies? (This information should be a part of the safety plan received from the contractor, including their sub-contractors) (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

No (-4) Yes (No deduct)

32 Did the contractor (including all subcontractors) experience a worker fatality or fatalities on the project or later as a result of injury that happened on the project? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

No (No deduct) Yes - Serious (-4) Yes - Willful (-6)
 Yes - Repeated (-8)

33 How many occurrences of non-conforming PPE's were sited? (Including all sub-contractors on-site work in this evaluation.) (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

<95% (-4) 95-99% (-2) >99% (2)

34 Did the contractor (including all subcontractors) experience worker injuries on the project requiring emergency services or medical services? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

No (No deduct) Yes - Serious (-4) Yes - Willful (-6)
 Yes - Repeated (-8)

35 Did the contractor follow the provisions of their Safety Plan? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

No (-5) Yes (No deduct)

36 Did the contractor have an active role in monitoring their subcontractor's work and addressing safety concerns of its sub-contractors? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

No (-5) Yes (No deduct)

37 Did the contractor utilize non-certified flagger/s? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

No (No deduct) Yes (-3)

38 Did the contractor (including subs) damage any utilities as a result of no locate services being requested? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

No (No deduct) Yes (-4)

39 Did the contractor perform flagging procedures in accordance with the MUTCD and the contract? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

<90% (-4) 90-99% (-2) >99% (2)

40 Did the contractor utilize worker awareness, vehicle lane departure, smart technologies or other measures to protect workers? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

No (No deduct) Yes (3)

Section 200 - Earthwork

Total Dollars of Section 200 Items Completed This Rating Years: 0

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 200 contract Quality specification violation? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation: -(6*n)

2 Did the contractor give proper notice to the engineer and Missouri Department of Natural Resources prior to demolishing a building, removing asbestos, underground storage tank or plugging/closure of a well or septic tank? (Contract Compliance - Environment) [Instruction](#)

N/A NO (-2) YES (No deduct)

3 Did the contractor properly dispose of project waste and provide necessary documentation? (Contract Compliance - Environment) [Instruction](#)

N/A NO (-2) YES (No deduct)

4 Did the contractor's operations produce the required embankment/subgrade density? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A <50% (-50) 50%-60% (-45)
 61%-70% (-40) 71%-76% (-35) 77%-83% (-30) 84%-90% (-25)
 91%-94% (-20) 95%-96% (-15) 97%-98% (-10) 99% (-5)
 100% (No deduct)

5 Did the contractor use proper lift thicknesses and benching procedures in the construction of embankments? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A <50% (-30) 50%-60% (-27)
 61%-70% (-24) 71%-76% (-21) 77%-83% (-18) 84%-90% (-15)
 91%-94% (-12) 95%-96% (-9) 97%-98% (-6) 99% (-3)

100% (No deduct)

6 Were grading operations conducted as to provide proper drainage of the subgrade during construction? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A <90% (-10) 90%-99% (-5)
 100% (No deduct)

7 Did the contractor submit a complete blasting plan at least 14 days before rock- drilling operations began? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

N/A NO (-2) YES (No deduct)

8 Did the contractor perform blasting operations in a manner to avoid damage to public or private property? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

N/A <90% (-10) 90%-99% (-5)
 100% (No deduct)

9 Did the contractor furnish copies of all blasting logs, and seismic monitoring data? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

N/A NO (-2) YES (No deduct)

Section 300 - Bases and Aggregate Surfaces

Total Dollars of Section 300 Items Completed This Rating Years: 0

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 300 Quality specification violations? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(6*n)$

2 Were the results of density tests on aggregate/ rock /stabilized permeable bases within specification limits? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A <50% (-50) 50%-60% (-45)
 61%-70% (-40) 71%-76% (-35) 77%-83% (-30) 84%-90% (-25)
 91%-94% (-20) 95%-96% (-15) 97%-98% (-10) 99% (-5)
 100% (No deduct)

3 Did the 2" capping material for rock base comply with the specifications? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A <75% (-25) 75%-79% (-23)
 80%-82% (-20) 83%-86% (-18) 87%-89% (-15) 90%-92% (-13)
 93%-94% (-10) 95%-96% (-8) 97%-98% (-5) 99% (-3)
 100% (No deduct)

4 Were the final grades of the rock/aggregate base in conformance with the specifications? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A <75% (-15) 75%-79% (-14)
 80%-82% (-12) 83%-86% (-11) 87%-89% (-9) 90%-92% (-8)
 93%-94% (-6) 95%-96% (-5) 97%-98% (-3) 99% (-2)
 100% (No deduct)

5 Did QC/QA results compare favorably? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A <50% (-25) 50%-60% (-23)
 61%-70% (-20) 71%-76% (-18) 77%-83% (-15) 84%-90% (-13)
 91%-94% (-10) 95%-96% (-8) 97%-98% (-5) 99% (-3)
 100% (No deduct)

6 Was Section 300 material stored and handled in such a way to maintain its quality? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A <90% (-10) 90%-99% (-5)

100% (No deduct)

Section 400 - Flexible Pavements

Total Dollars of Section 400 Items Completed This Rating Years: 1.80

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 400 Quality specification violations? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(6*n)$

2 Were samples of compacted bituminous mixtures delivered to the engineer in accordance with the specifications or as agreed to by the engineer? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

N/A <90% (-6) 90-99% (-3)
 100% (No deduct)

3 Were acceptable QC inertial profiler files furnished to the engineer in accordance with Section 610 specifications? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

N/A <90% (-8) 90-99% (-4)
 100% (No deduct)

4 Were contractor supplied material certifications for Section 400 items provided prior to the material being incorporated into the work? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

N/A <90% (-6) 90-99% (-3)
 100% (No deduct)

5 Did QC/QA results compare favorably? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A <50% (-25) 50%-60% (-23)
 61%-70% (-20) 71%-76% (-18) 77%-83% (-15) 84%-90% (-13)
 91%-94% (-10) 95%-96% (-8) 97%-98% (-5) 99% (-3)
 100% (No deduct)

6a \$ All Asphalt deductions this construction season [Instruction](#)

6b \$ Contract Value of all asphalt this construction season (Quality) [Instruction](#)

7 What percentage of density / compaction tests met specification requirements? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A <75% (-25) 75%-79% (-23)
 80%-82% (-20) 83%-86% (-18) 87%-89% (-15) 90%-92% (-13)
 93%-94% (-10) 95%-96% (-8) 97%-98% (-5) 99% (-3)
 100% (No deduct)

8 Was the asphalt cement content within specification limits? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A <90% (-8) 90-99% (-4)
 100% (No deduct)

9 Was the gradation of the combined aggregates, prior to mixing with asphalt cement, within specification limits? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A <90% (-6) 90-95% (-4)
 96-99% (-2) 100% (No deduct)

Section 500 - Rigid Pavements

Total Dollars of Section 500 Items Completed This Rating Years: 0

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 500 Quality specification violations? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(6*n)$

2 Did concrete for Section 500 items meet the specifications when it arrived at the jobsite? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

<input type="radio"/> N/A	<input type="radio"/> <90% (-9)	<input type="radio"/> 90-95% (-6)
<input type="radio"/> 96-99% (-3)	<input type="radio"/> 100% (No deduct)	

3 Were acceptable acceptable QC inertial profiler files furnished to the engineer in accordance with Section 610 specifications? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

<input type="radio"/> N/A	<input type="radio"/> <90% (-8)	<input type="radio"/> 90-99% (-4)
<input type="radio"/> 100% (No deduct)		

4 Were joints sawed before uncontrolled shrinkage cracking took place? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

<input type="radio"/> N/A	<input type="radio"/> <90% (-13)	<input type="radio"/> 90-94% (-10)	
<input type="radio"/> 95-96% (-8)	<input type="radio"/> 97-98% (-5)	<input type="radio"/> 99% (-3)	<input type="radio"/> 100% (No deduct)

5 Was the contractor persistent in adding unauthorized moisture to finish the concrete pavement surface? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(2*n)$

6 Were contractor supplied material certifications and / or correct and complete PAL tags for Section 500 items provided prior to the material being incorporated into the work? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

<input type="radio"/> N/A	<input type="radio"/> <90% (-6)	<input type="radio"/> 90-99% (-3)
<input type="radio"/> 100% (No deduct)		

7a \$ PCCP deductions this construction season [Instruction](#)

7b \$ Contract Value of PCCP this construction season (Quality) [Instruction](#)

8 Did QC/QA results compare favorably? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

<input type="radio"/> N/A	<input type="radio"/> <50% (-25)	<input type="radio"/> 50%-60% (-23)	
<input type="radio"/> 61%-70% (-20)	<input type="radio"/> 71%-76% (-18)	<input type="radio"/> 77%-83% (-15)	<input type="radio"/> 84%-90% (-13)
<input type="radio"/> 91%-94% (-10)	<input type="radio"/> 95%-96% (-8)	<input type="radio"/> 97%-98% (-5)	<input type="radio"/> 99% (-3)
<input type="radio"/> 100% (No deduct)			

9 Were control charts maintained on a daily basis? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

<input type="radio"/> N/A	<input type="radio"/> <90% (-13)	<input type="radio"/> 90-94% (-10)	
<input type="radio"/> 95-96% (-8)	<input type="radio"/> 97-98% (-5)	<input type="radio"/> 99% (-3)	<input type="radio"/> 100% (No deduct)

Section 600 - Incidental Construction

Total Dollars of Section 600 Items Completed This Rating Years: 65,969.99

Total Dollars of Temporary Traffic Control Items Completed This Rating year: 65,969.99

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 600 Quality specification violations? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(6*n)$

2 How many order records were written for traffic control? (Contract Compliance - Traffic Control) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(3*n)$

3 How many order records were written for pavement grinding environmental violations? (Contract Compliance - Environmental) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(3*n)$

4 Was pavement edge treatment properly provided and constructed in accordance with plans and specifications? (Contract Compliance - Traffic Control) [Instruction](#)

<input type="radio"/> N/A	<input type="radio"/> <90% (-10)	<input type="radio"/> 90-99% (-5)
<input type="radio"/> 100% (No deduct)		

5a \$ Pavement Marking paid this construction season. (Quality) [Instruction](#)

5b \$ Contract Value of Pavement Marking this construction season. (Quality) [Instruction](#)

6 Were traffic control devices installed and maintained in accordance with plans and specifications? (Contract

Compliance - Traffic Control) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <90% (-9) 90-95% (-6)
 96-99% (-3) 100% (No deduct)

7 Did the contractor provide well trained, equipped, and properly attired flag persons when required? (Contract Compliance - Traffic Control) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <90% (-9) 90-95% (-6)
 96-99% (-3) 100% (No deduct)

8 Were signs covered, set aside, turned, removed, or relocated as work progressed or was completed and their necessity ceased to exist? (Contract Compliance - Traffic Control) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(2*n)$

9 Did concrete for Section 600 items meet the specifications when it arrived at the jobsite? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <90% (-10) 90-94% (-8)
 95-96% (-6) 97-98% (-4) 99% (-2) 100% (No deduct)

10 Quality Assurance: What was the percentage of contractor staking checked acceptable? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <95% (-4) 95-99% (-2)
 100% (No deduct)

11 Did the contractor provide the necessary notification and documentation required for design errors, benchmark and control point errors and structure staking? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <90% (-3) 90-95% (-2)
 96-99% (-1) 100% (No deduct)

12 Were contractor supplied material certifications and / or correct and complete PAL tags for Section 600 items provided prior to the material being incorporated into the work? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <90% (-6) 90-99% (-3)
 100% (No deduct)

13 Was Section 600 material stored and handled in such a way to maintain its quality? Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <90% (-10) 90-99% (-5)
 100% (No deduct)

Section 700 - Structures

Total Dollars of Section 700 Items Completed This Rating Years: 3,645.26

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 700 Quality specification violations? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(6*n)$

2 How many order records were written for Section 700 environmental violations? (Contract Compliance - Environmental) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(3*n)$

3 Were exposed concrete surfaces properly cured? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(2*n)$

4 Was reinforcing steel properly aligned and tied in conformance with the plans and specifications when the contractor requested inspection? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <90% (-6) 90-95% (-4)
 96-99% (-2) 100% (No deduct)

5 Was a detailed installation plan for construction of drilled shafts submitted at least 30 days prior to construction? ((Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

- N/A No (-5) Yes (No deduct)

6 Were forms properly aligned and graded when the contractor requested inspection? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <90% (-6) 90-95% (-4)
 96-99% (-2) 100% (No deduct)

7 Did concrete for Section 700 items meet the specifications when it arrived at the jobsite? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <90% (-6) 90-95% (-4)
 96-99% (-2) 100% (No deduct)

8 Was the contractor persistent in adding unauthorized moisture to finish the bridge deck surface? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(2*n)$

9 Did the contractor provide adequate protection for property (to include the structure) and traffic during bridge, including painting, operations? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(2*n)$

10 Did the contractor's concrete removal practices minimize damage to existing reinforcing and structural steel that was to be used in place? (Quality) (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <90% (-9) 90-95% (-6)
 96-99% (-3) 100% (No deduct)

11 Were drainage pipes and structures constructed in accordance with the plans and specifications? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <90% (-9) 90-95% (-6)
 96-99% (-3) 100% (No deduct)

12 Were contractor supplied material certifications and / or correct and complete PAL tags for Section 700 items provided prior to the material being incorporated into the work? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <90% (-6) 90-99 (-3)
 100% (No deduct)

13 Was Section 700 material stored and handled in such a way to maintain its quality? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <90% (-10) 90-99% (-5)
 100% (No deduct)

Section 800 - Roadside Development

Total Dollars of Section 800 Items Completed This Rating Years: 0

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 800 Quality specification violations? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(6*n)$

2 How many order records were written this construction season for SWPP deficiency violations? (Contract Compliance - Environmental) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(3*n)$

3 Was seeding and/or sodding completed before the slopes became eroded? (Contract Compliance - Environmental) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <90% (-15) 90-95% (-10)
 96-99% (-5) 100% (No deduct)

4 What percentage of the performed erosion inspection reports have no deficiencies or have deficiencies corrected within the 7-day requirement? (Contract Compliance - Environmental) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <75% (-25) 75%-79% (-23)
 80%-82% (-20) 83%-86% (-18) 87%-89% (-15) 90%-92% (-13)
 93%-94% (-10) 95%-96% (-8) 97%-98% (-5) 99% (-3)
 100% (No deduct)

5 Was Section 800 material stored and handled in such a way to maintain its quality? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A <90% (-10) 90-99 (-5)

100% (No deduct)

6 Were contractor supplied material certifications and / or correct and complete PAL tags for Section 800 items provided prior to the material being incorporated into the work? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

N/A

<90% (-6)

90-99 (-3)

100% (No deduct)

Section 900 - Traffic Control Facilities

Total Dollars of Section 900 Items Completed This Rating Years:

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 900 Quality specification violations? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation: $-(6*n)$

2 Did the contractor provide material that was listed on the approved list of equipment and materials? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

N/A

<90% (-6)

90-99 (-3)

100% (No deduct)

3 Did the contractor provide the necessary certifications, manufacturers instructions, wiring diagrams, and parts lists, as required by the specifications? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

N/A

<90% (-6)

90-99 (-3)

100% (No deduct)

4 Did concrete for Section 900 items meet the specifications when it arrived at the jobsite? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A

<90% (-10)

90-94 (-8)

95-96 (-6)

97-98 (-4)

99% (-2)

100% (No deduct)

5 Was Section 900 material stored and handled in such a way to maintain its quality? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A

<90% (-6)

90-99 (-3)

100% (No deduct)